

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,359	01/11/2002	Beng S. Ong	D/A1657	6790	
75	990 05/22/2003				
Patent Documentation Center  Xerox Corporation  Xerox Square 20th Floor  100 Clinton Ave. S.			EXAMINER TRUONG, DUC		
					Rochester, NY
			1731	-	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, al. — 35			22
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/042,359	ONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, n oly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e. cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			he merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examil	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Xaiiiiiei.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2.0 C 440(a) (d) az (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	in priority under 35 U.S	5.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (i).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	de have been received	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			l Stago
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	i Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noce of Informal Patent Application (Par:	

Application/Control Number: 10/042,359

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chem Abstract 123: 315123.

The reference discloses the synthesis of a series of bithiophene and terthiophene derivatives produced from terthiophene, 3,4-dihexyl-, homopolymer or poly(3,4-dihexyl[2,2':5',2"-terthiophene]-5,5"-diyl) or [2,2'-:5',2"-terthiophene}-5,5"-dicarbonyl dichloride, 3',4'-dihexyl-, polymer with 1,4-benzenediol, having the formula, which is read on that of claim 4.

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose the broad teachings of the claimed formula in claim 1 nor specific formulae in claims 4-6, and 19.

However, the reference does disclose a specific repeating unit of the claimed formula which is included in the broad teachings of the reference. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the reactants under conditions to form the products of the claimed formula since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said use.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,359

Art Unit: 1711

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 and 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/042,357 and 10/042,360, respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference is the formulae of each application is derived from another in other application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the reactants under conditions from each application to get the same or similar products of the claimed formula, for the reasons as stated above, in the absence of a showing of unexpected results derived from said use.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,359

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9791 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DT May 20, 2003 DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER